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This paper

- **Hypothesis:**
  - Policy uncertainty explains variation in households’ stock market participation.

- **Methodology:**
  - Use elections as a “policy laboratory” to capture variation in policy uncertainty - state governments shape local environment, and election dates cannot be affected by households.

- **Results:**
  - Higher levels of policy uncertainty cause lower participation in the stock market - both on the intensive and extensive margin.
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Political risk and payoff of a stock

- Policy uncertainty is related to government policy action
- Political uncertainty causes an increase in stock market volatility
- Political uncertainty causes an increase in labour income risk
- Useful to see evidence that these relationships hold.
- For example - why should elections in a state affect volatility of firms that are not located in that state?
- Elections are local, but stock market is not.
- For example - what is the evidence we have on labour income volatility around elections?
The home bias literature

- Home-bias is an important feature of investor portfolios.
- If political uncertainty affects companies in the state, and investors typically only hold these companies in the portfolio, then there might be a link.
- Explore the linkages with the home-bias literature.
Is it just stock market volatility?

- It is stock market volatility that is causing the drop in participation, and not policy uncertainty.
- Find other instances of similar volatility and show that they did not cause such drops.
Elections and other events

- There might be other events at the time that affect stock market volatility.
- For example: four state elections in India - around the time of the NBFC crisis.
- How many elections are in the same year? And are these years significant for other reasons?
- Drop one year at a time (and the elections in that year), and see if the effect holds.
Some other thoughts

- Close elections
  - Close elections are related to economic downturns (Jens 2017). Perhaps the results on close elections are not to do with policy uncertainty?
  - As the level of electoral competition increases in the district, the equilibrium level of transfer to that district increases - reduces existing income disparities and promotes the growth of the middle class. (Mitra and Mitra, 2017).

- Public sector employees: not clear why they are a group that sees greater policy uncertainty at the time of elections.'

- Can you exploit the date of the election and the month of the survey?
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