

Saving for retirement – the role of NPS

Susan Thomas

(Finance Research Group, IGIDR)

Presented at the FICCI-PFRDA session on National Pension System (NPS)

8 March 2018

Contents

- ▶ Background
- ▶ Policy issues
- ▶ The NPS initiative
- ▶ Where has the NPS come; what next?

Brief background on pension systems

Pension systems

- ▶ Makes and delivers on promises of regular payments in old age (after retirement).
- ▶ Types of promise: based on defined benefit (pension plan) or defined contributions (pension funds).
- ▶ Needs management
 1. of large pools of money
 2. over a very long period of time.
- ▶ A social security system on promises.
 1. Traditionally, the implicit beneficiaries – taxpayers in a defined benefit system.
 2. Today most pension systems are defined contribution.
- ▶ Pension fund management industry is a competitive market.

Policy issues

Pensions policy, old and new

- ▶ Old (now redundant) policy: Deliver defined benefit pensions.
- ▶ The pensions reforms debate in the nineties was driven by failure of defined benefit social security pension plans.
- ▶ New policy focus: All pension systems are funded.
System must accumulate funds to ensure pension payout, where the new policy focus is
How to deliver performance on funded pension payout
- ▶ where performance has two phases:
 1. Funds accumulation phase and
 2. Pensions payout phase.

Issues in pensions policy

Three points of policy focus in performance:

1. Investment policy:

- ▶ Deliver high performance at low costs
This must hold in both the *accumulation* and the *payout* phase (wherever payout is not a one-time, lumpsum event).
- ▶ The more sophisticated the investment policy, the more governance oversight required.

2. Governance of pension fund management:

- ▶ Managerial control of the organisations.
- ▶ Minimise conflict of interest between those who manage the funds, and fund members

3. Access and delivery of the pension system

- ▶ Particularly if it has to offer a successful old age security feature for the informal workforce.

The NPS initiative

Where does NPS fit?

- ▶ NPS was born in the context of
 - ▶ the global pension reforms process **and**
 - ▶ recognising India's large informal sector workforce, **and**
 - ▶ an exploding pension demand on the Indian fisc.
 - ▶ Defined benefit, EPS – mandatory, unfunded.
 - ▶ Defined contribution, EPF – mandatory, funding unknown
 - ▶ Exempt funds, private PF funds
 - ▶ Defined benefit, government pensions.

The NPS 1999 vision

1. A defined contribution plan
2. Serves as a voluntary pension fund to *any* citizen,
3. Is an individual account system – a revolution at the time, even globally
4. Can take any contribution
5. Existing financial services firms can serve as *points of presence* for funds collection and payout delivery.
6. Funds are managed through a competitive industry of fund managers – for both the accumulated funds and the annuities.
7. Note: Points of presence are independent of fund management.

Where now; where to next?

State of NPS implementation now

- ▶ Central government pensions has been NPS from January 2004.
Various state government pensions followed over the next five years.
- ▶ Voluntary private sector NPS available from May 2009.
- ▶ Voluntary low-income worker NPS
 1. NPS-lite in 2010.
 2. Reformed as Atal Pension Yojana (APY) in 2015.
- ▶ By the start of 2017,
 - ▶ Number of subscribers reached 14.2 million.
 - ▶ AUM was Rs.1.7 trillion.

Gaps in the implementation

Several breaks with design principles:

1. Enable choice between NPS and EPFO – not fully implemented
Lumpsum withdrawals under NPS is taxed, not under EPFO.
2. Accumulation returns – departure from indexation in investment → higher cost, lower returns.
3. Accumulation returns – partial withdrawal allowed in Budget 2017 → lower returns
4. Post retirement returns – poor annuity performance on the 40% mandatory annuitisation.

Other challenges in implementation

- ▶ Policy change needs time to set in.

Too early to comment on problems based on end performance?

- ▶ Deeper problem with frequent policy interventions – makes it difficult to understand if the policy is working or not.
- ▶ Wide coverage objective yet to be achieved.

Total civil workforce = 474 million (NSS, 2011-12)

Covered workforce = 58 million (from Economic Survey and EPFO estimates, 2011-2012)

- ▶ But resultant interventions have not helped.
 - ▶ Example: NPS-Lite replaced by APY → confusion among the target audience.
 - ▶ Example: incentivising distribution → relapse into the regime of higher probability of mis-selling and lower customer protection.
- ▶ No focus on developing a competitive, well-functioning market for annuities.

Summary

- ▶ NPS remains a potentially great long term savings product.
In search of inclusion: informal sector participation in a voluntary, defined contribution pension system by Sane and Thomas, 2015

Documents that low-income households contribute to NPS **and** contribute regularly.

- ▶ Ideally, coverage has to be higher.
- ▶ Indian savings is skewed into non-financial assets.
The Indian household finance landscape by Badarinza, Balasubramaniam and Ramadorai, 2016.
- ▶ Frequent interventions make it troublesome to evaluate impact *and* confuse the target audience.
- ▶ More policy discussion and careful design (linked to stated and observed outcomes) is needed.
- ▶ This can help achieve the end goal of pension systems.

Thanks

susant@igidr.ac.in
<http://www.ifrogs.org>