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## Summary of findings

The authors document two key benefits of financial literacy

- Leads to a decline in the number of days taken to make loan repayments
- Helps borrowers in homogeneous groups of reserved castes overcome their initial disadvantage of low financial literacy


## Literature on financial literacy

- Banerjee et al. (2010) suggest that the real benefit of bringing poor households into formal or semi-formal financial sector via microfinance might be limited
- Would additional interventions such as targetted financial education programs help?
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- Banerjee et al. (2010) suggest that the real benefit of bringing poor households into formal or semi-formal financial sector via microfinance might be limited
- Would additional interventions such as targetted financial education programs help?
- There is a paucity of randomized evaluation of financial literacy programs
- Cole et al. (2010) find no effect of an education program designed to promote savings behaviour
- Carpena et al. (2012) reject the hypothesis that financial education permits individuals to minimize interest expense by choosing from a pool of loans
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- Results from non-randomized experiments have been mixed
- Bernheim et al. (2003) find that high school financial education leads to higher savings rate
- Cole and Shastry (2010) suggest that the above result might be spurious
- Agarwal et al. (2009) and Agarwal et al. (2010) examine the relative efficacy of a mandatory counseling program and a long-term voluntary participation program for prospective homebuyers
- They find the latter approach to be more effective; they document lower delinquency rates among program graduates
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- Why is the link between financial literacy and financial decision making weak? (Carpena et al., 2011)
- The training programs might be ineffective (inappropriate duration, non-engaging content, non-engaging or poorly-skilled trainers)
- The programs might be effective, but participants might suffer from cognitive biases (non-response to generalized information, lack of self-control, procrastination etc)
- The programs might be effective, but its impact on financial decision making might be inherently difficult to measure
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- The authors exploit variation in timing of financial literacy program across branches


## Where does the current paper fit?

- The current paper assesses the impact of mandatory short-term financial programs on loan repayment behaviour
- The authors exploit variation in timing of financial literacy program across branches
- Big picture: Why is a higher repayment rate beneficial?
" high repayment rates can reduce the cost of credit and allow MFIs to lower the interest rates, thereby enabling greater access to finance"
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## Measuring repayment performance

- The authors use multiple measures of loan repayment performance
- Days late in each of six installments
- The total number of days late over six installments
- The total number of months of delayed repayment
- The focus on the first six installments is due to data constraints
- The program was administered in 2012; by Feb 2014 (the last period for which the authors have data), most loans would have had completed six months of tenure post the program
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## Measuring repayment performance

- It is not immediately obvious why days late in any installment is a good measure of repayment performance
- Using data from earlier periods, can we examine the relation between these repayment measures and actual defaults?
- Suggestions:
- Define a 60 days-past-due (DPD) measure; what $\%$ of loans went 60 DPD in the first six installments?
- Repeat the analysis with loans of maturity 12 months, but using a 60 DPD or 90 DPD as a measure of performance


## Measuring repayment performance

- In most cases, days late in each installment is negative; this suggests that borrowers are actually paying ahead of time.

|  | Full sample | Training |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes | No |
| N | 17896 | 10901 | 6995 |
| Days late installment \#1 | $\begin{array}{r} -0.06 \\ (3.07) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.21 \\ (2.13) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.17 \\ (4.13) \end{array}$ |
| Days late installment \#2 | $\begin{array}{r} -0.04 \\ (3.36) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.09 \\ (2.98) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.03 \\ (3.88) \end{array}$ |
| Days late installment \#3 | $\begin{array}{r} -0.08 \\ (5.09) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.10 \\ (4.92) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.05 \\ (5.34) \end{array}$ |
| Days late installment \#4 | $\begin{array}{r} -0.03 \\ (6.27) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.02 \\ (5.89) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.03 \\ (6.82) \end{array}$ |
| Days late installment \#5 | $\begin{array}{r} -0.28 \\ (6.43) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.32 \\ (5.33) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.21 \\ (7.85) \end{array}$ |
| Days late installment \#6 | $\begin{array}{r} -0.29 \\ (8.23) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.37 \\ (7.51) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.18 \\ (9.24) \end{array}$ |
| Total Days Late | $\begin{array}{r} -0.78 \\ (19.69) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.10 \\ (16.69) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.27 \\ (23.61) \end{array}$ |
| Number of months late | $\begin{array}{r} 0.11 \\ (0.40) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.06 \\ (0.26) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.18 \\ (0.54) \end{array}$ |

- It wasn't evident from the paper, but does Swadhaar have a policy of giving grace periods? If yes, are the above results inclusive of such grace periods?


## Regression models

- Across all measures of loan repayment, financial literacy yields improvements that are statistically significant

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First | Second | Third | Fourth | Fifth | Sixth |
|  | Installment | Installment | Installment | Installment | Installment | Installment |
| Financial Literacy | -0.256* | -0.303* | -0.475** | -0.617** | -0.792*** | -1.101*** |
|  | (0.143) | (0.163) | (0.235) | (0.301) | (0.302) | (0.371) |
| Disbursed Amount | -0.000 | -0.000 | -0.000** | $-0.000^{* * *}$ | -0.000 | -0.000* |
|  | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.000) |
| Loan Series | -0.164*** | -0.098** | 0.061 | 0.220** | 0.114 | 0.169 |
|  | (0.042) | (0.048) | (0.069) | (0.089) | (0.089) | (0.109) |
| Married | -0.058 | 0.045 | 0.211* | 0.101 | 0.067 | 0.291* |
|  | (0.068) | (0.078) | (0.112) | (0.143) | $(0.144)$ | $(0.177)$ |
| Age of Client | 0.040* | -0.035 | 0.005 | 0.071 | 0.017 | 0.099* |
|  | (0.022) | (0.025) | (0.037) | (0.047) | (0.047) | (0.058) |
| Age Squared | -0.001* | 0.000 | -0.000 | -0.001 | -0.000 | -0.001 |
|  | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) |
| No Education | 0.046 | 0.020 | 0.054 | 0.213** | 0.052 | 0.098 |
|  | (0.049) | (0.056) | (0.081) | (0.103) | (0.104) | (0.128) |
| Monthly Income | 0.000** | 0.000*** | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.000) |
| Number of Earning Members | -0.051 | -0.077 | -0.036 | 0.003 | -0.066 | -0.135 |
|  | (0.043) | (0.049) | (0.070) | (0.090) | $(0.090)$ | $(0.111)$ |
| Reserved Caste | 0.112* | -0.134** | 0.087 | 0.154 | 0.046 |  |
|  | (0.050) | (0.057) | (0.082) | (0.105) | (0.106) | (0.130) |
| Number of Dependents | -0.010 | -0.016 | -0.061** | -0.045 | 0.032 | 0.018 |
|  | (0.018) | $(0.020)$ | (0.029) | $(0.037)$ | (0.038) | (0.046) |
| Branch Dummies | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Observations | 16272 | 16272 | 16272 | 16272 | 16272 | 16272 |
| R-Squared | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.01 |

* Significant at the 10\%; ** at the 5\%; *** at $1 \%$ levels

Regressions control for the duration of the loan i.e. 12 months or 24 months
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## Regression models

- (To quote) "Relative to those with no financial education, financially literate individuals are repaying the first installment 0.25 days earlier and the sixth installment one day earlier"
- It would help if authors elaborate on the following:
- What is the economic significance of this result: $0.25-1$ day?
- Given that both groups mostly pay ahead of their due date, how do we interpret these results?
- It isn't that the delay in payments is reduced, but that payments are advanced even further
- How do we attribute this to financial literacy?
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## Regression models

- A perplexing result is that while enrolment in financial education program is a significant explantory variable, basic literacy of the borrower isn't
- How do we juxtapose these two results? The authors might want to elaborate more on this
- Given that these mandatory programs were for only 30 minutes, it might be interesting to examine if basic and/or financial literacy of family members has an impact on the borrower's repayment behaviour


## Extended regression models

- The authors extend the base models to examine the impact of social ties and group homogeneity on repayment

* Significant at the $10 \%$; ${ }^{* *}$ at the $5 \%$; *** at $1 \%$ levels

Regressions control for the duration of the loan i.e. 12
months or 24 months and demographic variables
All regressions control for year, month, branch and state fixed effects

- While the authors make some interesting inferences, lack of consistency in results across loan performance measures is a source of concern
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## Other comments

- Big question: It isn't obvious what the transmitting channel is, particularly given that these courses were extremely short and mandatory
- To be fair, the authors do acknowledge this in the conclusion.
- A more detailed articulation would help
- I recommend that the authors also elaborate more on the benefits of early repayments, particularly at the level of the individual borrower
- Field and Pande (2008) find that flexibility in repayment (weekly vs monthly) has no significant effect on client delinquency or default
- Field et al. (2012) find that flexibility in repayment reduces financial stress
- Field et al. (2014) find that allowing a grace period in repayment involves both costs and benefits
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## Conclusion

- The authors document that intervention in the form of financial literacy does improve loan repayment behaviour
- This is a valuable addition to the emerging literature on the benefits of such intervention programs
- The authors validate their primary results using a comprehensive battery of robustness tests
- Interesting paper and definitely recommend reading it

