Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board: Role of a regulator in insolvency

Anirudh Burman, Legal Consultant, NIPFP

March 5, 2016

1/9

Table of contents

- Role for regulation
- IBBI
- 3 Concerns
- Thank you.

The insolvency eco-system

 Insolvency, properly effected, is about deriving or preserving the best value of the firm.



3/9

The insolvency eco-system

- Insolvency, properly effected, is about deriving or preserving the best value of the firm.
- It requires multiple parties with different interests in the firm to interact and negotiate.
- This process requires reliance on critical information and technical expertise.
- The IBC creates IUs to resolve the process of bad information and IPs for providing technical expertise and advice.
- A competitive, private market of IUs is envisaged. IUs will be utilities storing authentic financial information about a firm's liabilities and defaults.
- IPs may be professional individuals (or firms) with expertise in in insolvency, bankruptcy, finance, law and accountancy, and management, providing services relating to insolvency, bankruptcy and liquidation.

Protecting consumers

- Consumers, i.e. debtors and creditors will lose faith in the bankruptcy regime if—
 - discovering information is still expensive.
 - information is frequently found incorrect, false, or incomplete.

Protecting consumers

- Consumers, i.e. debtors and creditors will lose faith in the bankruptcy regime if
 - discovering information is still expensive.
 - information is frequently found incorrect, false, or incomplete.
 - 3 IPs provide low quality of service, leading to losses.
 - IPs cheat debtors/ creditors, or are negligent in their performance.

Protecting consumers

- Consumers, i.e. debtors and creditors will lose faith in the bankruptcy regime if
 - discovering information is still expensive.
 - information is frequently found incorrect, false, or incomplete.
 - IPs provide low quality of service, leading to losses.
 - IPs cheat debtors/ creditors, or are negligent in their performance.
- The adjudicatory mechanism cannot function without these services either.
- This requires a regulatory apparatus to protect the consumers of these services.
- IPAs act as the primary regulators for IPs.

Creating a good regulator

- A regulator makes rules, monitors their compliance, and penalises violators.
- Key to this is
 - the emphasis on a good rule making process; and
 - respect for due process of law while performing its supervisory and adjudicatory role.
- the IFC provides a template for sound administrative practices in these aspects.

Role, powers and functions of IBBI

- Regulates IUs, IPs and IPAs (for IPs, there are two levels of regulation: IPAs and IBBI).
- Has powers to create entry barriers, monitor and investigate, and penalise and de-license these service providers.
- Oevelopmental function create the regulatory system such that consumers of IPs and IUs find the regulator beneficial. This will create demand side incentives for better services.
- Regulatory overreach will inhibit development (higher compliance costs, arbitrariness are costs implicitly passed on to consumers).

6/9

- Weirdly, as per clause 196(1)(t) the IBBI can:
 - ...make regulations and guidelines on matters relating to insolvency and bankruptcy as may be required under this Code.
- e.g. can IBBI change the procedure, or the burden on proof in court proceedings?



- Weirdly, as per clause 196(1)(t) the IBBI can:
 - ...make regulations and guidelines on matters relating to insolvency and bankruptcy as may be required under this Code.
- e.g. can IBBI change the procedure, or the burden on proof in court proceedings?
- This has severe repercussions on the accountability of the IBBI to Parliament – excessive delegation.

- Weirdly, as per clause 196(1)(t) the IBBI can:
 - ...make regulations and guidelines on matters relating to insolvency and bankruptcy as may be required under this Code.
- e.g. can IBBI change the procedure, or the burden on proof in court proceedings?
- This has severe repercussions on the accountability of the IBBI to Parliament – excessive delegation.
- Clause 196(1)(u) allows regulator to frame its own regulation making process. The agent will always create the easiest rules it is supposed to abide by.
- The IFC template mandating CBAs in addition to public consultations, and specifying the exact process for rule-making must be considered.

- Greater emphasis on due process requirements for inspection and enforcement. There must be a greater burden on the regulator to create uniformity and regulatory certainty:
 - show cause notices, orders, etc must have standardised formats.
 - if action is contemplated against someone, access to all relevant information must be provided.
 - investigation and adjudication must be separate, and orders well reasoned.

Thank you.

